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TL;DR: One (implicit) layer is all you need.
We can replace *many classes of* deep models with a single layer, keep the number of parameters the same, and lose no *representational capacity*.

Requires us to (re-)consider deep networks implicitly, with an approach that we call the **deep equilibrium (DEQ) model**.

Works as well (or better) than existing models on large-scale sequence tasks while using only constant memory.
Weight-Tied, Input-Injected Networks

Isn’t weight-tying a big restriction?

- **Theoretically, no**: We show that any deep feedforward network can be represented by a weight-tied, input-injected network of equivalent depth.

- **Empirically, no**: The (many) recent successes of weight-tied models: TrellisNet [Bai et al., ICLR 2019], Universal Transformer [Dehghani et al., ICLR 2019], ALBERT [Lan et al., preprint].
Equilibrium Points, and the DEQ Model

We now can think of a deep network as *repeated* applications of some function

\[ z^{[i+1]} = f_\theta(z^{[i]}; x) \]

In practice (a bit more on this point shortly), after these types of models converge to an equilibrium point (i.e., an "infinite depth" network)

\[ z^* = f_\theta(z^*; x) \]

**Deep Equilibrium (DEQ) Models**: Find this equilibrium point directly via *root-finding* (e.g., Newton/quasi-Newton methods) rather than iterating the forward model. Backpropagate via implicit differentiation.
A Formal Summary of the DEQ Approach

Define a single layer $f_\theta(z; x)$.

**Forward pass:** Given an input $x$, compute the equilibrium point $z^*$, such that

$$f_\theta(z^*; x) - z^* = 0$$

(via any black-box root solver; e.g. Broyden’s method)

**Backward pass:** Implicitly differentiate through the equilibrium state to form gradients:

$$\frac{\partial \ell}{\partial (\cdot)} = \frac{\partial \ell}{\partial z^*} \left( I - \frac{\partial f_\theta}{\partial z^*} \right)^{-1} \frac{\partial f_\theta}{\partial (\cdot)}$$

Virtually always exists in practice (examples later)
FAQs

Q: Is DEQ related to the decade-old attractor network, and the recurrent backprop (RBP) ideas?

- Yes! Our main contributions here are conceptual and empirical: 1) We advocate for replacing general, modern, highly structured networks with single-layer equilibrium models, not using simple recurrent cells; and 2) We demonstrate that with these networks, the method can achieve SOTA performance with vast reduction in memory.

Q: Why not stack these deep equilibrium "implicit" layers (with potentially different functions)?

- No! Stacked DEQs can be equivalently represented as a single (wider) DEQ; i.e., "deep" DEQs doesn’t give you more; it’s only a matter of designing \( f_\theta \).

Intuitively, \( \exists \Gamma_\Theta \) s.t. \( \text{DEQ}_{\Gamma_\Theta} = \text{DEQ}_{h_{\theta_2}} \circ \text{DEQ}_{f_{\theta_1}} \)
FAQs

Q: What are the relative time/memory tradeoffs?

- **Typically ~2-2.5x slower to train, ~1.5-2x slower for inference** (root finding takes slightly longer than iterating a small fixed # of forward steps).

  - **Forward pass**: black-box root solving (e.g., fast Quasi-Newton methods)

  - **Backward pass**: One-step multiplication with the inverse Jacobian at equilibrium

- **Constant memory consumption**: no need to store any intermediate value (i.e., no growth at all with “depth”; O(1)). Only need to store $x, z^*, \theta$. 
DEQs for Sequence Modeling

- One can easily extend the methods above to create DEQ versions of all common sequence modeling architectures.

- We specifically provide two instantiations of DEQ based on two very different SOTA sequence modeling architectures:

1) **DEQ-TrellisNet**: equilibrium version of TrellisNet architecture [Bai et al., ICLR 2019], a type of weight-tied temporal convolutions that generalizes RNNs

2) **DEQ-Transformer**: equilibrium version of Transformer architecture [Vaswani et al., NIPS 2017], with weight-tied multi-head self-attention [Dehghani et al., ICLR 2019]

More details in the paper.
Large-Scale Benchmarks

Word-level Language Modeling on WikiText-103 (WT103)

1) Benchmarked on sequence length 150
2) Does not include memory for word embeddings

More results in the paper.
Summary, Thoughts and Challenges

- DEQ represents the largest-scale practical application of implicit layers in deep learning of which we are aware.

- DEQ computes an "infinite-depth" network. DEQ’s forward pass relies on a direct root solving; its backward pass relies only on the equilibrium point, not on any of the intermediate "hidden features". Memory needed to train DEQ is therefore constant (i.e., equivalent to that of 1 layer).

- DEQ performs competitively with SOTA architectures, but with up to 90% reduction in memory cost.

- How should we understand depth in deep networks?

- Let the objective of a model be implicitly defined (e.g., "the equilibrium")?

Interested in DEQ? Stop by our poster at Exhibition Hall B+C #137 (right after this talk) ;-)
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